

**1. [15 points]** For the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  find a matrix  $P$  such that  $PAP^{-1}$  is diagonal and give a formula for  $A^{30}$ .

**Solution:**  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , then the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $P(A) = (x-2)^2 - 1 = x^2 - 4x - 3 = (x-3)(x-1)$ , implies eigenvalues of  $A$  are 3 and 1.

Let  $(a, b)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalues 3. Then

$$A \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = 3 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} 2a + b = 3a \\ a + 2b = 3b \end{cases} \implies a = b.$$

Thus  $(1, 1)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue 3. Similarly if  $(c, d)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Then

$$A \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = 1 \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} 2c + d = c \\ c + 2d = d \end{cases} \implies c = -d.$$

Thus  $(-1, 1)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue 1.

Now consider the matrix  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Also

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = P^{-1}DP \implies D = PAP^{-1},$$

where  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a diagonal matrix.

Since  $A = P^{-1}DP$ , then  $A^{30} = \underbrace{(P^{-1}DP) \cdots (P^{-1}DP)}_{30 \text{ times}} = P^{-1}D^{30}P$ .

**2. [15 points]** Let  $F$  be a field and  $n > 0$  an integer. Give an example of two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  that are not similar, but whose characteristic polynomials are equal.

**Solution:** Assume that  $F = \mathbb{C}$  and  $n = 2$ . Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then,  $A$  and  $B$  both have same characteristic polynomial  $x^2$ . But they are not similar, as  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0 = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**3. [15 points]** Let  $F$  be a field. Given two linearly independent collections of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_r \in F^n$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_r \in F^n$ , show that there exists an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  such that  $Av_i = w_i$ , for  $i \leq r$ .

**Solution:** Since  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\} \subset F^n$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\} \subset F^n$  are linearly independent set of vectors, they can be extend to ordered bases for  $F^n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \{w_1, \dots, w_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$  are bases for  $F^n$ . Consider a map  $T : F^n \rightarrow F^n$  such that  $T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$ , for all scalar  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset F$ . Then  $T$  is linear as  $T(\alpha v + \beta w) = T\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i\right) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha a_i + \beta b_i) v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha a_i + \beta b_i) w_i = \alpha T(v) +$

$\beta T(w)$ . Also  $T$  is bijective as  $T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i = 0 \implies a_i = 0$  in  $F$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \implies v = 0 \implies \text{nullity}(T) = 0 \implies \text{rank}(T) = \dim(F^n) - \text{nullity}(T) = n - 0 = n$ .  
 Now let  $A = [T]_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}$  be the matrix of  $T$  relative to the ordered bases  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ . Then  $A$  is the  $n \times n$  invertible matrix such that  $Av_i = w_i$ , for  $i \leq r$ .

- 4. [20 points]** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and let  $X$  be an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix. Set  $A' = XA$ .  
 (i) Show that the columns  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}$  of  $A$  are linearly independent iff the corresponding columns  $C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}$  of  $A'$  are so. Likewise, show that  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}$  span the column space of  $A$  iff the corresponding ones of  $A'$  have the same property.  
 (ii) Deduce that if the pivot entries in (reduced) row echelon form of  $A$  are in columns indexed by  $j_1, \dots, j_r$ , then the corresponding columns  $C_{j_1}, \dots, C_{j_r}$  of  $A$  form a basis of the column space of  $A$ .

**Solution:** Since  $A' = XA$ , where  $X$  is  $m \times m$  invertible matrix, then  $C'_{i_j} = XC_{i_j}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \implies C_{i_j} = X^{-1}C'_{i_j}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .

(i) Let  $C_{j_1}, \dots, C_{j_r}$  are linearly independent.

Thus,  $0 = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C'_{i_j} = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} X C_{i_j} = X \left( \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C_{i_j} \right) \implies \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C_{i_j} = X^{-1}0 = 0 \implies a_{i_j} = 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , as  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}$  are linearly independent, implies  $C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}$  are linearly independent. Conversely let  $C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}$  are linearly independent.

Thus,  $0 = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{i_j} C_{i_j} = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{i_j} X^{-1} C'_{i_j} = X^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r b_{i_j} C'_{i_j} \right) \implies \sum_{j=1}^r b_{i_j} C'_{i_j} = X0 = 0 \implies b_{i_j} = 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , as  $C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}$  are linearly independent, implies  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}$  are linearly independent.

Now let  $C(A)$  and  $C(A')$  be the corresponding column space of  $A$  and  $A'$ .

Let  $C(A) = \text{span}\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$ . Then,  $v \in C(A') \implies X^{-1}v \in C(A) \implies X^{-1}v = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C_{i_j} = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} X^{-1} C'_{i_j} = X^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C'_{i_j} \right) \implies v = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C'_{i_j}$ . Thus,  $\{C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}\}$  spans  $C(A')$ .  
 Conversely, let  $C(A') = \text{span}\{C'_{i_1}, \dots, C'_{i_r}\}$ . Therefore,  $v \in C(A') \implies Xv \in C(A) \implies Xv = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C'_{i_j} = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} X C_{i_j} = X \left( \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C_{i_j} \right) \implies v = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{i_j} C_{i_j}$ . Thus,  $\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$  spans  $C(A)$ .

(ii) Let  $A'$  be the (reduced) row echelon form of  $A$ . Then  $A' = (E_k \dots E_1)A = PA$ , where  $E_j, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  are  $m \times m$  elementary matrices. Since  $E_j, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  are invertible,  $P = E_k \dots E_1$  is invertible. Thus if the pivot entries in  $A'$  are in columns indexed by  $j_1, \dots, j_r$ , then  $\{C'_{j_1}, \dots, C'_{j_r}\}$  are linearly independent and spans  $C(A')$ . Therefore using previous result in (i) we get  $\{C_{j_1}, \dots, C_{j_r}\}$  form a basis of  $C(A)$ .

**5. [15 points]** Show that the equation  $AB - BA = I$  has no solution in  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , there is a solution for  $A, B$  for  $n = 2$ .

**Solution:** Suppose there exist  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $AB - BA = I$ .

Then  $\text{trace}(AB - BA) = \text{trace}(I) \implies \text{trace}(AB) - \text{trace}(BA) = \text{trace}(I) \implies 0 = n$  (as  $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$ ), a contradiction. Hence, the equation  $AB - BA = I$  has no solution in  $n \times n$  matrices  $A, B$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus

$AB - BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I$ , as  $-1 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Thus  $AB - BA = I$  has a solution over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  for  $n = 2$ .

**6. [20 points]** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  be mutually orthogonal (nonzero) vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) If  $W$  is the subspace  $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_i \cdot w = 0 \ \forall i\}$  and  $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ , then show that dimension of  $W$  is  $n - r$  and that  $V \oplus W = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(ii) Show that any collection of mutually orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a part of a basis consisting of mutually orthogonal vectors.

**Solution:** (i) Since  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are mutually nonzero orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i v_i = 0 \implies \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i v_i\right) \cdot v_i = 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \implies a_i v_i \cdot v_i = 0 \implies a_i = 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , as  $v_i \cdot v_i \neq 0$ . Thus,  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  are linearly independent. So they can be extended to a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , say  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  is the basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $v_i \cdot v_i \neq 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Now using Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process (by taking  $w_1 = v_1$  and  $w_i = v_i - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{v_k \cdot w_k}{w_k \cdot w_k} w_k, \forall i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ )  $\mathcal{B}$  can be transformed into an orthogonal basis  $\mathcal{B}' = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $w_j = v_j, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ;  $w_i \cdot w_j = 0, \forall i \neq j$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus W'$ , where  $W'$  is the subspace spanned by  $\{w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ . Now let  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i \in W$  then for any  $v \in V$   $v \cdot w = 0 \implies v_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i = 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \implies a_j = 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \implies w = \sum_{i=r+1}^n a_i w_i \in W' \implies W \subset W'$ . Also since for any  $w' \in W' \implies v \cdot w' = 0 \implies W' \subset W$ . Thus  $W = W'$ , implies  $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus W$  where  $\dim(W) = \dim(W') = \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) - \dim(V) = n - r$ .

(ii) Let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  be mutually nonzero orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then they are linearly independent, so  $r \leq \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ . If  $r = n$  then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  is the orthogonal basis. If not then by using same procedure in (i) i.e., extending it to a basis and then using Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure we can get an orthogonal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .